

To: Interested Parties

From: Global Strategy Group & North Star Opinion Research

Date: September 2026

Re: Texas voters want lawmakers to reform Social Security to prevent automatic benefit cuts, and lawmakers who take up this issue stand to benefit electorally

The future of Social Security is on the ballot this November. The program's trust fund is only six years away from depletion, and the U.S. Senators elected this year will still be in office when it happens. Without reforms, automatic 22% benefit cuts are coming for all beneficiaries, and voters, including those in Texas, want lawmakers to act.

In partnership with North Star Opinion Research, Global Strategy Group conducted a phone and text-to-web survey of 2,500 voters in key Senate battleground states, including 500 likely voters in Texas, between August 20 and August 27, 2026.


The survey, conducted on behalf of the Peter G. Peterson Foundation, finds that likely 2026 Senate battleground voters, and voters in Texas specifically, are highly concerned about automatic benefit cuts to Social Security. Nearly all Texas voters want lawmakers to make plans to reform the program to prevent automatic benefit cuts. What's more, voters are more likely to support lawmakers who have plans to reform Social Security, and it will be a factor in who they choose to vote for in the Senate election. Some key findings from the research are outlined below.

Key Findings

Social Security is an important issue for Texas voters. Majorities of Texas voters say it is important that lawmakers have a plan to reform Social Security (79% important) before they have even been informed of the automatic benefit cuts set to take place in 2032. What's more, before voters are informed of automatic benefit cuts, three-quarters say they are concerned about Social Security (76%), and a majority say they support reforming Social Security as we know it today (57% support/22% oppose). Support for reform is higher in Texas than in any of the other battleground states polled.

Once Texas voters are aware of automatic benefit cuts to Social Security, there is more urgency for reform. Half of Texas voters (49%) are unaware of automatic benefit cuts to Social Security set to take place in 2032. However, once voters are informed of these automatic benefit cuts, nearly all Texas voters say it is important for lawmakers to have a plan to reform Social Security to prevent automatic benefit cuts. This urgency for reform spans partisanship and generational divides as well.


Knowing that if lawmakers do not act by 2032, there would be an automatic 22% benefit cut for all current and new retired Social Security beneficiaries, how important is it to you that lawmakers reform Social Security to prevent automatic benefit cuts?



*Indicates small sample size, results are directional.

Candidates with plans to address automatic benefit cuts to Social Security stand to benefit. Three-quarters of Texas voters say they would be more likely to vote for a candidate who has a plan to reform Social Security to prevent automatic benefit cuts (76% more likely). Voters also prefer a candidate who has a plan to prevent automatic benefit cuts (81%) over one who promises not to touch Social Security (19%). What's more, majorities across party and age lines say that a candidate having a plan to address Social Security will be a factor in their Senate vote decision.

Knowing that the U.S. Senators elected this November will be in office in 2032 when automatic Social Security benefit cuts are set to occur, is a candidate having a plan to address Social Security a factor in deciding whether you would support them in the 2026 election for U.S. Senate?




*Indicates small sample size, results are directional.

There is widespread support for several Social Security reform approaches, but not for borrowing more money. Texas voters not only support Social Security reform, but they also back several approaches to reform across partisan and generational lines. The most popular approach is raising the payroll tax cap for high earners, though balancing benefit and tax adjustments fairly and limiting benefits for higher-income beneficiaries are also popular. Voters are vehemently opposed to borrowing more money and adding to the national debt to fund Social Security. Moreover, when voters have to choose between keeping benefits as they are even if it means borrowing more money and adding to the debt (18%) and having a plan to stabilize Social Security to avoid having to borrow more money (77%), voters overwhelmingly prefer the latter.

Support for Policy Solutions to Prevent Social Security Automatic Benefit Cuts

Full text of the policy solutions can be found in the appendix



*Indicates small sample size, results are directional.

Appendix

Full Text of Policy Solutions

[RAISING THE SOCIAL SECURITY PAYROLL TAX CAP FOR HIGH EARNERS] Currently, all workers pay a 6.2% tax on their annual income up to a maximum income of \$184,500. This proposal calls for an additional 1% tax on all incomes above \$184,500, which is not taxed now.

[BALANCE – RAISE TAXES AND ADJUST BENEFITS FAIRLY] A balanced approach that gradually adjusts benefits over time in a fair and compassionate manner, while also increasing taxes into the program so that more benefits can be preserved and the system can remain funded for a long period.

[CAP BENEFITS FOR HIGHER INCOME BENEFICIARIES] Capping all annual Social Security benefits, so that no retired couple receives more than \$100,000 per year.

[BORROWING MONEY] Congress could choose to borrow money to help fund Social Security, which would add to the national debt.

ABOUT THIS POLL

In partnership with North Star Opinion Research, Global Strategy Group conducted a phone and text-to-web survey of 2,500 likely voters in the battleground states of Georgia, Michigan, North Carolina, Ohio, and Texas between August 20 and August 27, 2026. The survey has a margin of error of +/- 4.4% among the sample of Texas voters. Care has been taken to ensure the geographic, political, and demographic divisions of the population of likely voters are properly represented.